Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN DUGAN, et al., Plaintiffs, v. LLOYDS TSB BANK, PLC, Defendant.

Case No.:3:12-cv-02549-WHA (NJV)

ORDER RE CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTIVE ORDER

Re: Dkt. Nos. 82 & 85

Lloyds TSB Bank, PLC ("Lloyds") has addressed the issues this court raised in its December 20, 2012 order (Doc. No. 83). See Doc. No. 85. The court orders as follows:

- (1) The stipulated protective order may contain the two levels of confidentiality designations urged by Lloyds.
- (2) The term "competitor," which Lloyds seeks to include in the definition of "expert," shall be limited to those competitors Lloyds identified in Exhibit A to Doc. No. 85.
- (3) The parties may challenge confidentiality designations. See, e.g., Doc. No. 82-1 at 8, at ¶ 6. Plaintiffs may use a similar procedure to challenge the entities that Lloyds has identified as competitors. The court urges the parties to meet and confer in earnest before seeking judicial intervention regarding these matters.
- (4) The parties shall file a revised stipulated protective order reflecting the above within seven days.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 9, 2013

Nandor J. Vadas United States Magistrate Judge